Previous references.

L.R

1889-90

(Rev Alls)

31 of 1889

File No. ~~43 of 89-90~~

Serial Nos. 1 to 10

Later references.

R.Ms 62 of 91-92

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

B

Serial Nos. 1 to 10

Part B. ~~for~~

SUBJECT.

Proposals for the Settlement of the final remuneration of Patels and Shanbhogs in the Hassan Taluk.

Indexed

For 2 of Govt. Order.

Prays that his appeal may be disposed of without being referred to the Dy Commr, that his adopted son be appointed to the shanbhogi in his stead, and that his nephew Ramaswamienga may act as acting Shanbhog till the minor comes of age.

The Dy Commr of Hassan Dist.

submits report on the petition of Rangaiya Shanbhog of Kon halli, with reference to G.O. No. 414 Dt 8th Septr 1891

Informs that the above report has been received in his office.

J. E. S. 56

From The Supdt Rev Survey
No. 723 Dt 21st Feby 1890 (Dy No. 1157/193)
Forwards statements showing settlement of final remunerations of Village Officers in 53 Govt Villages of the Hassan Taluk, and recommends that the proposals therein contained may be sanctioned from such dates as may be deemed necessary.

The Supdt. Rev Survey,
No. 1971/1e Dt 11th April 1890.
Enquires which of the amounts relate to the permanent final remunerations and which subject to revision, in re. late Chief Commr's Proc: No. 6154-63/R 1081 Dt 21.1.1881.

From The Supdt. Rev Survey,
No. 22 Dt 15th April 1890 (Dy No. 669/12)
States that in the case of each and all of the Taluks entered therein the amounts of remunerations have been calculated in accordance with the revised rules of the late Chief Commr, and that none of the proposals submitted subsequent to the date of the said Proc: are otherwise than in accordance with the revised rules.

To The Supdt Rev Survey,
No 375/5 Dt 21st April 1890.
Enquires whether the settlement of final remunerations of Village Officers in any of the Taluks mentioned in the statements accompanying the docket has been revised under Rule XV authorising the revision once in 4 years.

From The Supdt Rev. Survey,
No. 92. Dt 22nd Jany 1890 (Dy No. 1156/26).
States that in no case has the period of ten years necessitating the revision of the settlement expired up to date, and that in the case of certain Taluks the settlement of final remunerations was revised to bring the same under the amended Rules of 1881.

To Rangiya, late Shanbhog of Korehalli Pirke, Hassan Taluk.
Endr No. 1820 Dt 19th July 1890.
Informs him that his request for the transfer of the Baravard in the name of a minor, who has not been actually adopted is inadmissible, that the Dy Commr has been requested to hold an enquiry and if satisfied that Krishniah is undivided and distant cousin having no joint interests in Korehalli Pirke, the charge will be restored to him or his nominating a qualified person to relieve his grandson.

To the Supdt Rev Survey and The Comptr,
No. 5828-90/7 Dt 11th August 1890.
Sanctions the proposals of the Supdt Rev Survey with effect from 1890-91 for the final remunerations of Patels & Shanbhogs in the Hassan Taluk.

(1 (23)

Dated 16 July 1887

Dated on the date

Translation of appeal petition presented by Rangaiya

For two years from 1879 up to end of 1880 I was laid up with fever; during this time the Amildar of the Hassan Taluk pressed me very much for the preparation of the village accounts. I represented to the Amildar that I was doing the duties of the office of Shanbog of the Hosa-halli Pirka only as assistant and that the accounts could be got prepared by the Shanbog of the neighbouring Pirka. I was made to draw up a report in the presence of the Amildar agreeing to pay to the Shan-bogue of the neighbouring Pirka half of the Mirasi allowances and full pay. In fact I was compelled to submit a report in accordance with the wish of the Amildar. The Amildar further made the Shanbog of the neighbouring Pirka to signify his assent to the arrangement contained in the report I was compelled to draw up by affixing his signature thereto. This Krishnia who was performing the duties as Goomasta up to 1880 entertained the idea of depriving me of the office. When I came

to know this design I was a little better and at once reported myself to the Taluk Cutchery as fit to enter on my duties. The Amildar told me that my Goomasta having failed to write up the accounts of the past two years, I should write them up before I could get back my office; I contended that it was hard to make me write the accounts of the past two years when I had given ½ of the miras & full pay to the Goomasta. The Amildar would not listen to me and insisted on my preparing the accounts. Accordingly I prepared them and took them before the Taluk Sheristadar who told me to keep the accounts with me. I reported this to the district authorities. The Amildar (Ramanujaiengar) reported to the Distt authorities that I was inefficient and that I had not prepared the accounts and that my statement that the accounts were produced before the Sheristadar was false; and recommended that Krishna the Shanbog of the neighbouring Tirkashi should be appointed in my place. Colonel Hill accordingly dismissed me from office. I beg to submit that I have not committed any offence to justify the deprival of my

(Le (24)

Appellant
Rungaiya
Shanbog of Kovakalli Firka

Decision

The Appellant Rungiah, who was the Shanbog of Kovakalli Firka, Hassan Taluk, fell ill in 1879/80, and, being unable to perform his duties, was removed from office. One Krishnah, the Shanbog of the neighbouring Shankam Firka, was appointed to officiate for the appellant, who now complains that he was unjustly deprived of his office, and that his request for the transfer of the Baravani in the name of his sisters' grandson, whom he intends to adopt as his son, and for the

appointment of a Jumaatah
for the performance of
the duties, was not
complied with.

The Dy Comr, in his
No 491, dated 19th Dec 1889,
reports that the present
appellant Kristniah is
also a Nattidar, his
father and the father
of appellant being brothers.
The Appellant does not
admit such close
relationship but states
that they are distant
cousins whose ancestors
are separate, Kristniah
having a Tirka of his
own and Karahal
Tirka consisting of four
different villages belonging
exclusively to appellant.

If what the appellant

states be true it seems
hard that Appellant should
during his own lifetime
be totally deprived of
his hereditary hak.
The Dy Com. is, therefore,
requested to hold an
enquiry at this point,
and, if satisfied that
Kishnah is a devoted
and distant cousin
having no joint interest
in Kakatti Fatka,
to restore the charge
to Appellant on his
nominating a qualified
person to act as his
Gumastah.

The Appellants'
request, for the transfer of the
Havanad in the
name of a minor who
has not actually been
adopted, is unreasonable

20/6/19

To the Dy Com. Nagpur Dn
Rly N.G. Ind Wappt. Circle

73 (M) (26)

CHIEF SECY.'S DEPT.

SUBMITTED TO THE DEWAN.

For Orders

Papers Enclosing with Survey Rept's No. 92 & 2

Lett Survey Rept's No 723 & 24th Feb'y last- submitting for the Original (not received) Sett.e of the Inam Commissioner's Shanbogues Vs Patels in the Hassan Taluk were Rtd. for Report &c.

Last order dated & the same was Rtd for the Dewan's Approval.

The Dewan inquired Whether the permanent Inam Commissioner had been sent to any Taluk &c to ascertain Taluks & what the particulars were.

As far as I knew, ~~such case had been~~ ~~search~~ in no case was such Sanct.n, but to make sure I made inquiry in the Office - Rept & Survey Rept was read, & the Survey Rept in the last of the papers in the file says that no such

Case Law Examples

Drafting Exercise

for Abbey

(Abbey)

Search Draft

Kansas

Boston

Wiley

1889-90 (1) (10)

SE No. 1

R.M. 43 of 1889-

Section L R
No 31-89
Serial No 1

No. 1840

Name of Petitioner } Rangeyya alias Shambaga of Kondalli-Tirka, Hospet

Village

Taluk: Hospet

District: Hospet.

Date of Order 21st Nov.r/89

Date of Receipt 25th Nov.r/89

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosures. one.

Submitted for orders with references.

9th March/90.

Refers received 11-90 A.S.C.

Abstract.

Petitioner states that his Petition of 17.7.82 about his Shan Inoglip, was forwarded to Hospet Dy: Comm.r for disposal, that after 7 years had elapsed the present Dy: Comm.r issued a Notice to him to appear before that Officer on 23rd Oct.r/89; and after postponement, he ordered on 14th Nov.r following that the present arrangements shd continue and Petitioner's qualifications be tested and reported.

2. What the Petitioner wants is that, as he is not fit for this adopted son be allowed in his stead, his Petitioner

Take notice of Council

Early

8/11/90

R.T. 2/4.

Petr will be heard on a date to be fixed by the Under Secy.

AR
2/4

Hearing
19th June 1890

AS
28/4/90

Signed
30/4

Ward Guardian till the minor attains his age. Petitioner says that to deprive him of his Guardianship is unjust as there is nothing against his character. Were the present arrangements confirmed there would be no justice to his lawful claim and he would be left helpless in his present old age.

Petitioner prays that the appeal may be disposed of here, without being referred to the Dy: Commr, in order to prevent delay.

25-11-89

No 1840

Two Rupees Stamp having been attached to the original petition dated 17-7-82 presented in the District Office & has been struck off by the Deputy Commissioner

The Ocean to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

21-7-90

Sir

I the undersigned Rangiah Late Shanbhogue of Kora Hally Phirka Hassan alias Beg do bring the following to your kind considerations and favourable orders.

By my petition dated 17-7-82 your honour about my Shanbhogship honour was pleased to forward it to the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District for disposal.

2 Notwithstanding the repeated applications from me, after a lapse of about 7 years the present Deputy Commissioner was pleased to issue a notice dated 27th September 89 ordering me to appear before him on 23rd Oct. On which date I presented myself before the Co Again 8th November was fixed for hearing Till 19th of the month nothing were done, although I used to attend daily to office as per order. On the 19th I presented a petition and

Copy of the order from Taluq to the Shrestha. appointing him as Shanbhogue in place of his father has been herein enclosed for perusal.

arrangements are to continue and my
qualifications to be tested and reported;
but my qualifications have nothing to do as
Canal work myself. My request is
simply to nominate my adopted son in my
stead and appoint my nephew Ramaswamy-
yengar, by whom I am being protected up
date, as Gumasta, for the discharge of Govt.
duties till my son Comes of age. If the
arrangements are to be continued as is ordered
by the Deputy Commissioner, what became of
my claim? What is the means of my livelihood?
Neither am I dismissed for misappropriation
of Govt. money nor punished Criminally for
any offence. Therefore I think I am not
to be deprived off of my shanbhagship.

Therefore I beg your gracious honour to
grant my above humble request after perusing
the records as I am quite unfit for journey.
If it is sent to the Deputy Commissioner for
final disposal I will be dead before it
is decided and my wife and son will have
to die unnoticed. My grave is fast approaching
by benefactor? I have none to help
me in this matter except your honour as I
have neither money nor recommendations.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

21.11.89

(By [illegible])
late Shanbhag of [illegible] Hobli Phir[illegible]
Hassan Taluq.

Copy of the order.

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE
188 27 DEC. 89
DEWAN OF MYSORE

From

To

Dated 19th

Despatched 24th Dec 89

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

Petition dept
MK
27.12.89

Submitted to
Councillors
MK

I served sentg
record per letter.
Man is a Pathan
8 years old & one
need take cha or hee
of its own.
ACL
24/12/90

Vp. the letter may be
read & recorded & the
same intimated by P/mr.
GM
21/1

Transmitting report
on the petition of one
Ranjaiya Shanbhogue
of Kandhally Hassan
Taluk, with reference
to official Memo No
454 dated 8th September
1882.

Sl No 2

(4 (11)

Deputy Commissioners office

Hassan District

No 491

Secy

Mys Hps.



Dated Kamp Javagal 19th Decemb 1889

From

P. N. Runnaiya Esqr.

Deputy Commissioner

Hassan District

To

The Chief Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your official Memo No: 4 X 54 dated 8th September 1882 I have the honor to report that I have personally seen and examined Runnaiya Shanbogue of Korahally Hassan Taluk and I consider him concurring with his own admission to be inefficient for duty.

The petitioner has no competent

men in his family to perform the duties and his proposal is that he has selected a child of 18 months being a grandson of his sister to be his heir and that his formal adoption will be solemnized in due course and that the change may be registered in that infants name and his sisters son made gumasta to do the duty as the present officiator does not maintain him properly in his present helpless state.

The present officiator on the other hand is also a hakdar being the cousin of the Petitioner Rungaiya, their fathers being brothers. As matters now stand the present officiator is the next eligible heir to the office as Rungaiya himself is inefficient and stands put out of office on that account and

he has as yet no heir, no issue of his own nor any child by adoption. Under these circumstances the present arrangement is left undisturbed.

The petition with enclosures are herewith returned. The reference is an old one received several years before I came to this District. The papers had been lost sight of and was recently come accross in arranging records.

I have the honor to be
sir
Your most obedient servant.

S. Turcaega

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

(General Serial No. 30.)

188

SL 1663

From
Section LR
File No 31-89
Serial No 3
To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

The Dy: Commr
Hassan Dist

Dated 5
Despatched 5 } February/90.
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 8490
No. 335

His letter No 4918 dt 19th Dec-
last reporting on the petition
of Shanbogue Rangayyaru
Koravalli, Hassan Taluk.

—"—

I have the honor to inform that
the above has been recorded in
this office.

By order

Dy/C.

AK
Under Secy

AR.

Survey No. 47.

(15)



188

Section LR
File No 132 84th Mysore Red Survey
Serial No

Draft Encd.
RR
4/3

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 21st February 1890

Despatched

Received

ENCLOSURES No.

No. 723 of 1890.

Sir

I beg to forward the accompanying papers as per margin shewing the settlement (original and not revised) of the final remuneration of village Officers in the 527 Govt villages of the Hassan Taluk of the District of the same name and to recommend that the proposals

1 Statement of Patels remuneration
2 Do Do Shanbogs
3 Do of extra allowances to Patels
4 Abstract statement in English.

132 B2 7-4-90 | 22-3-90 | 12-3-90 | 132 B2 25-2-90

proposals therein contained may be sanctioned

with effect from such year as may be deemed

necessary.

2 Shanbog's charges as well as extra

allowances to Patels have been fixed as usual

in communication with the Deputy Commr

of the District.

3 It will be observed that the

existing land emoluments of the village

Officers of the above mentioned Taluk

being next to nothing the amount pay-

-able from the Treasury is unusually

heavy.

Supt Uttara Kan Survey

Enclosure 13

No. 60. Abstract of Results of the Settlement of the Patels and Shanbhogs'

Number.	Taluk.	Village Officers.	Total remuneration according to Rules.	Existing emoluments.			Mode of providing	
				From land.	Cash allowances.	Total existing remuneration.	From existing land emoluments.	From existing cash emoluments.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Hassan	Patels ...	9183 8 "	3 12 "	3934 12 "	3938 8 "	3 12 "	3934 12 "
		Shanbhogs...	8594 12 "	" " "	4887 14 "	4887 14 "	" " "	4887 14 "
		Total ...	17778 4 "	3 12 "	8822 10 "	8826 6 "	3 12 "	8822 10 "

I	Number of villages for which proposals for final remuneration are submitted	
II	Number of Patels fixed...	
III	Number of Shanbhogs fixed...	
IV	Total Revenue, Rupees...	
V	Number of Patels and Shanbhogs to whom the amount mentioned in column 10 above is payable	Patels ... ...
		Shanbhogs ... ...

Survey Superintendent's Office,
Dated 188 .

Final Remuneration, compiled in the Survey Superintendent's Office.

uture remuneration.		Surplus existing emoluments above those shown in Columns 8 and 9 and mode of dealing with them.					
By new payments from the Treasury.	Total.	From land.	Additional ½ Jodi on column 12.	Cash allowances to be stopped in future.	Total of columns 13 and 14.	From Column 12 remaining as sinecure, deducting Column 13.	Remarks.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
5245 " "	9183 8 "	— " "	— " "	— " "	" " "	" "	
3706 14 "	8594 12 "	— " "	— " "	— " "	" " "	" "	
8951 14 "	17778 4 "	— " "	— " "	— " "	" " "	" "	

Sl. No. 5

(General Serial No. 31.)

1890.

From Section LR
File No 31-89
Serial No 5
To

The Superintendent,
Revenue Survey.

Dated 11th April.
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 171
No. 4

Has the honor to request to be informed at a very early date which of the amounts entered in the accompanying statement (to be obligingly returned) relate to the permanent final remuneration of Shanbogues & patels as revised in accordance with the late Chief Court's pro. No 6154-63 R. 1081 dated 21st January 1881, and which are still subject to revision under the same proceedings.

2. The Survey Superintendent is also requested to report whether the proposals for the payment of permanent remuneration to

15

Village officers, submitted subsequent
to January 1881, are in accordance
with the instructions laid down
in the Local Govt Act
in the proceedings alluded to above,
and if not, why.

'By Order'

A S

Under Secretary

D D

Acct Dept
C1
16/4/90
Sl No. 6

Survey No. 47. (6) 115

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 449 21 APR 90

Section LR 12
File No 31-89
Serial No The Superintendent

Re-Survey Rev, Survey.

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt of Mysore.

Dated
Despatched 15th April 1890.
Received

ENCLOSURES No. 1 + Sketch
No. 22 of 1890

Key Locks No. 141/4 dated 11th Instant accompanied by a Statement showing the final remuneration of Shanbogues and Patels in the various settled Talaks.

In returning herewith the above

12
22/4.

B2
22-4-90

above mentioned Statement, has the honor to state in reply that in the case of each and all of the Taluks therein entered the amounts of remuneration have been calculated in accordance with the revised rules contained in the late Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 6154–63 / R. 1081 dated 21st January 1881, and that none of the proposals for the final remuneration of village officers, submitted subsequent to the date of the said Proceedings for sanction, are otherwise than in accordance with the said revised rules.

Superintendent
Mysore Rev. Survey.

Survey No. 47. 20

OFFICE OF THE
1456
22 MAY 90
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

(20)

Section LR 1889
File No From 31-89
Serial No

The Superintendent
Mysore Revenue Survey.

To The Chief Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore
Despatched 22nd May 1890.
Received

ENCLOSURES No. 2
No. 92 of 1890.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a Docket No. 375 dated 21st Ultimo enquiring whether the settlement of final remuneration of village officers in any of the Talukas mentioned in the statement accompanying the Docket has been revised under Rule XV authorizing the revision once in 10 years.

In returning herewith the statement in question I have the honor to state that as will be perceived from the dates of sanction entered in the last column of the statement, in no

31
23-5-90

no case has the period of ten years necessitating the revision of the settlement expired up to date.

2 In the case of each of the marginally noted Talukas, the settlement (under the old rules) of final remuneration was revised not because the period of ten years had elapsed but in order to bring the same under the amended Rules of 1886.

Bangalore — No. 1
Magadi — No. 2
Tumkur — No. 12
Pavagada — No. 14
Sira — No. 15
Maddagiri — No. 16
Chikkaballapur — No. 18
Chamrajnagar — No. 30
Shimoga — No. 41
Honali — No. 42
Chennagiri — No. 43
Sorab — No. 45
Chitaldroog — No. 57
Chalkere — No. 58
Hiriyur — No. 59
Holalkere — No. 60
Davangere — No. 61.

Superintendent
Mysore Rev: Survey

No. 1840

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

17th July 1890.

Appellant.

Vs.

Respondent.

Section LR
File No 31-89
Serial No 9

Ranganja
late Shanbhog of Korahalli Fik
Hassan Taluk

Endorsement.

In reply to his Petition, dated 21st November 1889 Ranganja late Shanbhog of Korahalli Fik Hassan Tk

is informed that the Dy Commr has been requested to hold an enquiry, and if satisfied that Krishniah is a divided and distant cousin having no joint interest in Korahalli Kirka, to restore the charge to Appellant on his nominating a qualified person to act as his Gumasta, and that appellant's request for the transfer of the Baravard in the name of a minor, who has not been actually adopted, is inadmissible.

By order
Draft
Asstt Secretary

Asst AC

SER 10

Section 23 LR 27
File No 31-89
Serial No 10.

Read Letter No 523 dated 21st February 1890, from the Superintendent, Mysore Revenue Survey.

" "

No 5020-9 / 90 — 9 11 — 8 — 90. Order.-

With the foregoing letter, the Survey Superintendent submits proposals for the settlement (original & not revised) for the final remuneration of Patels and Shanbogues in the Hassan Taluk. The Taluk comprises 527 villages. The number of Shanbogues provided for is 120 and the number of Patels 527. The proposed remuneration and the mode of meeting them are as follow:-

		120 Shanbogues			527 Patels		
Total remuneration according to rules		8594	12	"	9183	8	"
To be met-	From existing land emoluments	"	"	"	3	12	"
	From existing cash emoluments	4,887	14	"	3,934	12	"
	By new payments from the Treasury	3,706	14	"	5,245	"	"
		8594	12	-	9183	8	-

17778-4-3 The additional sanctions Rs 22-8-3 are Rs 22 vide 1048

11x

The cash payments from the Treasury are unusually large owing to existing land emoluments being next to nothing.

2 The Survey Superintendent's proposals are sanctioned with effect from 1890-91.

Chief Secretary

To.
The Superintendent,
Mysore Rev: Survey.
The Comptroller.

✓ Rep. for
Comm.
Appl.
7/3
9/3

Has permanent (final) remuneration been settled for any of whom Talukdars. Let me see a statement giving Name & details.
20/3

Rogers
East

Statement submitted
29/3
29.3.90 AG

The simple meaning of the Remarks requiring them has not been understood. Same Song Bee —

Please see the portions marked into blue pencil at Pages 6, 59, 67, 70 of the Proc of 16 Sept 85 — Viz.,
(a) The Kacche Potgee (as interim remuneration)
(b) The Pukka Potgee (as original & not revised remuneration or salary as Collector calls it)
(c) The final revised remuneration as per rule XV.

The Remarks refer to the items (c) aforesaid. And

25

Requires careful attention
if necessary after signing
on the Offer of Court & and
the Survey Supt

Ltho 3/4/90

Sir.

Please see the Statement
and the Survey Supt's
docket No 92 of the 22nd
May 1890. In no case has
the final remuneration
once fixed been revised
as per Rule XV. Submitted.

DB
27/5. AL.

for W D the
Lewisham Bth.

Ltho
2 Aug 90